

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT

Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report
Federal Fiscal Year 2023



www.baltimorecountymd.gov/departments/housing
Public Comment Requested: September 12-26, 2024

Submit comments to: Terry Hickey, DHCD Director
105 W Chesapeake Ave, Ste. 201
Towson, MD 21204
Or via email to: dhcd@baltimorecountymd.gov

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Baltimore County's Consolidated Plan for Fiscal Years 2020-2024 proposed how the County would use federal resources from the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, the HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program, and the Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG) as well as leverage local, state and other federal resources to meet Baltimore County's priorities of: Affordable Housing, Housing for Homeless/Those At-Risk of Homelessness, Housing Opportunities for Non-Homeless Special Needs, Community Infrastructure Improvements, and Community Sustainability. The Consolidated Plan was born out of a collaborative process that included participation from low- to moderate-income persons and communities, Limited English Proficient individuals, community groups, nonprofit organizations, individuals, government agencies and business associations. It assessed needs, identified goals and objectives along with desired outcomes. Each year, the County updates its intended steps in meeting those goals by publishing its Annual Action Plan. The projects identified in the Action Plan form the basis of the County's funding allocation for the year and detail how the County will meet established priorities. At the close of each year, the County produces a Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) to track its progress in performing the activities in the Action Plan and its progress in meeting the goals of the Consolidated Plan. This CAPER reports on progress made during Federal Fiscal Year 2023/County Fiscal Year 2024.

Within Baltimore County's priority need areas, the County's Annual Action Plan identified 15 goals and annual performance measures for its entitlement-funded community development and housing programs. These goals are summarized in an attachment to this section of the document. In support of the priority need areas and goals above, the County drew \$8,468,849.36 million in CDBG entitlement funding during the program year. Of the funds spent, 61% was Housing related, 13% Public Facilities and Improvements, 9% Public Services and 17% Administrative costs. The County also used \$2,616,185.14 in CDBG-CV funding for direct covid-related subsistence payments to landlords and staffing costs to oversee and distribute additional COVID-related eviction prevention funds.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual

outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Affordable/Accessible Housing - Renters/Homeowners	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	250	0	0.00%			
Affordable/Accessible Housing - Renters/Homeowners	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		3	3	100.00%
Affordable/Accessible Housing - Renters/Homeowners	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	320	108	33.75%	41	46	112.20%
Assist persons at-risk of homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	778		0	0	
Assist persons at-risk of homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	0	0		0	0	
Assist persons at-risk of homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		0	0	

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Assist persons at-risk of homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	575	0	0.00%	375	517	137.87%
Code Enforcement to Maintain Livable Communities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%			
Establish/stabilize/exp and small/micro businesses	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	0				
Housing Accessibility Modifications for Disabled	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	35	0	0.00%	5	5	100.00%
Housing Accessibility Modifications for Disabled	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	65	0	0.00%	25	25	100.00%
Housing for Persons in ALUs and Group Settings	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	15		0	12	

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Housing for Persons in ALUs and Group Settings	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	30	0	0.00%	19	24	126.32%
Increase Access to Public Services - Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	3050	1495	49.02%	600	705	117.50%
Increase Access to Public Services - Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	725	150	20.69%	145	540	372.41%
Increase Access to Public Services - Homeless	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		0	0	
Increase Access to Public Services - Non-homeless	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	4845	3019	62.31%	1749	1685	96.34%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Increase Homeowner Affordability & Sustainability	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	250	0	0.00%	35	10	28.57%
Promote Fair Housing Outreach, Education, Testing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$100000 / County General Funds: \$	Other	Other	20	0	0.00%	4	60	1,500.00%
Removal of Blighted Buildings	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	15	0	0.00%			
Shelter and Transitional Housing for Special Needs	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0				

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Shelter and Transitional Housing for Special Needs	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$ / ESG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0				
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$743583 / ESG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	38	0	0.00%			
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$743583 / ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	112		0	25	
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$743583 / ESG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	0	0		26	0	0.00%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$743583 / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	270	0	0.00%	69	0	0.00%
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$743583 / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	300	13	4.33%	91	13	14.29%
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$743583 / ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		0	0	
Support a range of homeless housing options	Homeless	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$743583 / ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		22	0	0.00%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Support Community Infrastructure Outdoors	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	4100	0	0.00%			
Support Community Infrastructure through Buildings	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	4190	4075	97.26%	2000	4533	226.65%
Support Community Infrastructure through Buildings	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	0	0				
Support Community Infrastructure through Buildings	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0				

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan,

giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

Beginning Data Notes - a few important notes on the tables above:

1) Baltimore County has consistently experienced difficulties with the way HUD's system pulls numbers into the charts above. Baltimore County manipulated some data points to populate the charts in a manner that more truly reflects its projects. This also holds true for the roll up data from the specific annual performance indicators in relation to the five-year plan goals. As was the challenge with our prior Consolidated Plan CAPERs, not all data pulls properly into the goal charts. As a result, Baltimore County must sometimes manually calculate and enter Con Plan accomplishment data. Considerable staff time was dedicated to making these numbers reflect a realistic representation of spending and accomplishments during the CAPER period.

2) In addition, the County continues to report on its efforts related to the Voluntary Compliance Agreement, however much of that work does not fit easily into the numerical reporting format of the econ planning suite software. At HUD's direction, the VCA reporting is now completed through a separate report submitted twice a year, but on a timeline set by HUD that is no longer tied to the Action Plan and CAPER reporting timeframes. Also of note, the program year goals above show HOME funding the year's Fair Housing efforts. This is incorrect and should reflect \$100,000 in County and \$50,000 CDBG funding. No HOME funds are associated with this activity and the project set up in the Action Plan does not reference any HOME funds for Fair Housing activities. Additionally, the four (4) in the expected column reflected the four areas of the County. A better indicator is the number of tests performed of 60 this year, which is how the County is choosing to report. As a result, the percentage increase/decrease is not a good indicator of success in a given year. The County is on par with the number of tests expected to accomplish in the Consolidated Plan reporting period.

3) The County initially considered using its CDBG funds for Code Enforcement during the Consolidated Plan period. However, other funds were used for these efforts and the County will not be showing Code Enforcement work as part of this CAPER.

4) Homeless Person Overnight Shelter also includes ESG-funded street outreach. This outreach number is large and skews the "at a glance" view of overnight shelter usage funded with federal funds. The bulk of the County's overnight shelters are assisted with County funds and are not included in the above totals of those assisted.

Progress Made in Carrying Out the Plan - Continued

Below is a brief summary of progress made over the past year using federal CDBG, HOME and ESG funding along with other available funds:-

Affordable rental housing for low-income households, veterans and persons experiencing homelessness, the County used federal and local funds to create and preserve rental housing for low-income neighborhoods.- Affordable housing that is located in areas not experiencing racial and ethnic concentrations or areas of high poverty - The County continued its work with developers to encourage affordable housing development in "Opportunity Areas" through the County. - Affordable housing for homeowners and assistance with needed home repairs for low to moderate-income citizens - 83 homeowner households received some type of rehabilitation. 10 households received homeownership counseling resulting in direct homeownership. - Through a combination of federal and county funds, Baltimore County provided 808 County residents with shelter, rapid rehousing for those experiencing homelessness and outreach services, funded with ESG. Of those, 118 individuals (46 households) received Rapid Rehousing assistance, 540 individuals (472 households) received outreach services, and 43 individuals received emergency shelter services funded with ESG. - Community infrastructure improvements and assistance sustain viable neighborhoods and communities 6,605 people benefitted from improvements to the following types of facilities: senior centers (52), assisting persons living with a disability (880), homeless facility (465), a neighborhood facility (1,133), and a health facility (4,075). - Public Service activities assisting the homeless, seniors, youth, victims of intimate partner violence or sexual assault, employment training, abused and neglected children, subsistence payments, food banks, and other public services which are CDBG-eligible activities - Baltimore County served 5,851 County residents through CDBG-funded public service activities 2,476 in homeless/AIDs programs, 620 in senior services, 278 in services for persons with a disability, 336 in youth services, 124 victims of intimate partner violence/sexual assault, 16 in employment training, 126 in child care services, 341 abused and neglected children, 92 in mental health services, 65 subsistence payments, and 1,377 in other CDBG-eligible public service activities.- Overall numbers continue to be impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic as programs opened/closed/reduced capacity in response to the pandemic and decreased in-person services. Additionally, the County utilized CDBG and ESG funds specifically dedicated to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. As reflected in the PR-23, the County disbursed over \$1,499,999.11 in CDBG-CV-funded subsistence payments to 809 households to assist those households remain stably housed. - The County did not choose to remove any blighted buildings or use CDBG funding for code enforcement activities.

Assessment Continued:

The COVID-19 pandemic's impact remains as the County attempts to meet Action Plan goals. Nonprofits and government struggle with increased costs of construction/supplies as well as the challenges keeping staff. Despite this, many residents benefitted from the services provided during the CAPER period. For another year non-native populations utilized services, particularly non-native youth participating in a middle school afterschool/enrichment program which operated at 133% of original projections due to an influx of ESOL students beyond initial program estimates. Community Infrastructure through Buildings fell short on its 6 capital projects planned in FFY2023 (CFY2024). Four of the six projects saw active work. Two were fully completed and served beneficiaries (Richcroft Alternative Living Units(4) and NCIA Randallstown Alternative

Living Units(4)) while another (CHIMES Alternative Living Units(8)) expended/drew phase one costs, but the project is not yet complete. A fourth, (The League), is 85% complete on its project and beneficiaries will be shown in the next CAPER. After delays in prior years, several 2022 prior year projects moved to completion with the following beneficiary numbers (Arc Baltimore Alternative Living Units (3), Baltimore Medical Systems Health Center (4,075), Board of Child Care Alternative Living Units (5), Easter Seal's Senior Center Air Filtration system (52), and Prologue's roof and HVAC system (406)).During FFY23, the County's Fair Housing vendor, Economic Action Maryland, conducted 26 Source of Funds (SOI) rental tests, 22 Disability rental tests and 4 Gender phone lending tests via single-sided, phone methodology; and 4 Race rental tests and 4 National Origin rental tests via in-person, matched-pair methodology for a total of 60 tests. These numbers are reported in the goal chart, but distort the original projections. In reality, the project is on track with expected testing rates. - In the goal area of Increase Access to Public Services for the Homeless, FFY2023 saw an increase to 167% served over original projections. The goal area of Increase Access to Public Service for Non-Homeless served 96% of intended beneficiaries. Community Infrastructure through buildings served 327% of original projections because several older, prior year projects finished and those beneficiary numbers show on top of the original projections. Housing for Persons in ALUS/Group Homes achieved 126% of intended numbers for a similar reason. Increase Homeowner Affordability and Sustainability fell short of its goal at just 25% due to a lag in SELP loans. In part this is due to higher home prices pushing costs out of reach of the traditional SELP borrower. The goal of Affordable and Accessible Housing for Renters and Homeowners met 50% of its predicted usage due to higher prices and delays in several projects.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME
White	3,668	1
Black or African American	7,990	5
Asian	192	0
American Indian or American Native	106	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	10	0
Total	11,966	6
Hispanic	1,764	0
Not Hispanic	12,354	6

Describe the clients assisted (including the racial and/or ethnicity of clients assisted with ESG)

	HESG
American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	4
Asian or Asian American	2
Black, African American, or African	418
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	10
Middle Eastern or North African	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	332
Multiracial	19
Client doesn't know	7
Client prefers not to answer	0
Data not collected	16
Total	808

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Baltimore County collects race and ethnicity data on all families and persons assisted in whole or in part with federal funds. The CDBG entitlement-funded numbers, originally populating the chart above totaled 3682, with 273 of those Hispanic. HOME numbers showed as 6 total served, with 0 Hispanic. Individually run reports for HOME and CDBG provide alternative numbers. The numbers shown in the chart above are taken from IDIS report for the 2023 Program Year's PR 23 Summary of Accomplishments CDBG/HOME Beneficiaries by Racial/Ethnic Category and include both persons and households in the total. When combined, the PR 23 shows a total of 14,118 CDBG beneficiaries served and zero (0) HOME beneficiaries. This CAPER chart total does not add because not every racial category is prepopulated by HUD into this chart. This report shows 3606 white persons and 62 households, 7,635 Black/African American persons and 355 households, 183 Asian persons and nine (9) households, 105 American Indian/Alaskan Native and one (1) household, 10 Native Hawaiian persons, 55 American Indian/Alaskan Native & White persons and eight (8) households, 18 Asian/White individuals, 226 Black/African American & White persons and 40 household, nine (9) American Indian/Alaskan Native and Black/African American individuals and five (5) households, and 1,742 Other multi-racial persons and 49 Other multi-racial households. Hispanic persons were reported as 1,739 persons and 25 households. 970 of that total is in Other multi-racial.

HOME PR 23 report numbers show 1 first-time homebuyer assisted and 1 existing homeowner(s). Zero (0) of the assisted were Hispanic. One (1) of the assisted households was white, while the other household was black in the FFY2023 PR 23. The PR23 is currently not showing all projects assisted with HOME funding due to an issue with approval of final draws and the use of old monies subject to a COVID waiver. The CAPER as originally populated showed one white household above and the remaining five (5) as Black/African American.

As an additional note regarding the ESG numbers above, CAPERs submitted after October 1, 2017 are no longer utilizing the ESG CAPER reporting tool. Instead jurisdictions have been directed to utilize the HMIS reporting feature for the breakdown of those served with ESG funds. As a result no numbers are pulled from the project specific information loaded into this CAPER through IDIS activities. Instead, the data above has been pulled from HMIS and entered by hand. ESG data shows a total of 808 served during Program Year 2023. HMIS race categories are slightly different from those displayed in the chart above. HMIS reports the following collapsed categories - Client Doesn't Know/Client Prefers Not to Answer 7. Per HMIS, ESG assisted the following persons: 4 American Indian/Alaska Native/Indigenous, 2 Asian/Asian American, 418 Black/African American/African, 10 Hispanic/Latina/e/o, 332 White, 19 multiracial and 16 persons where HMIS shows "data not collected."

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	7,921,197	8,468,849
HOME	public - federal	4,361,517	1,241,509
ESG	public - federal	414,377	370,255
Continuum of Care	public - federal	2,957,037	2,957,037
Section 8	public - federal	91,550,000	91,550,000
Other	public - federal	13,213,693	13,213,693
Other	public - local	13,213,693	13,213,693
Other	public - state	13,213,693	13,213,693

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The "Resources Made Available" column reflects original programing as shown the Action Plan according to Baltimore County's federal award for ESG and the federal award plus additional program income in the case of the CDBG and HOME programs. Expenditure numbers are from the PR23 reports.

Original CDBG projections included an award of \$4,385,348, program income of \$700,000, \$2,835,849 in prior year resources for a total of \$7,921,197. However, the County receipted \$870,072.79 in program income, an unanticipated increase of \$170,073. The County drew \$8,468,849 during the program year, with a portion of that representing unliquidated prior year obligations. Activities representing a benefit to low/moderate income County residents constituted 100% of funds spent. Public Services on the initial PR-26 showed at 5.82%, but the County used the PR-05 to determine the actual disbursed funding was 14.31% at the CAPER writing. With an additional \$25,341.67 in unliquidated public service obligations, the County will remain within its cap at 14.85% of expenses on Public Services. The County spent 14.72% on administrative costs. All activities fell within HUD's required CDBG spending caps/ratios. The County expended \$2,616,185.14 in CDBG-CV during the program year.

HOME projections expected an award of \$2,363,039 and program income of \$650,000 with \$1,348,478 available in prior year HOME resources. The County receipted less program income than expected at \$260,883 and disbursed \$87,833.61 during the reporting period on first time homebuyers and existing homeowner units. The County currently has 7 SELP projects using 2019 HOME funds that were expended during the program year, but are waiting on a waiver from HUD headquarters for use of the funding. The County considers those funds to be part of the FFY2023 expenses and will draw them down as soon as they are approved by HUD. The County expended \$370,255 in total ESG funding, leaving a balance of \$44,122.

All funds were used for activities in keeping with the priorities established in both the County's Consolidated Plan and its Annual Action Plan. In the "Amount Expended" column, the County took the

CDBG number from IDIS, but this number also includes funds drawn in the program year as well as those awarded with prior year allocations. Additionally, the County received more CDBG program income and less HOME program income than initially expected which required adjustments to overall Plan projections.

The County leverages federal funds with state and county dollars. As part of the County's Voluntary Compliance Agreement (VCA), the County places \$3 million per year into an affordable housing fund and \$300,000 per year into a fund for the modification of Housing Choice Voucher holder's apartments for accessibility modifications. County General Funds supporting the VCA projects are used as HOME match and this match is documented in the VCA loan agreements for each project. 170 VCA units were approved during Program Year 2023. A list of other projects funded with leveraged dollars is summarized below. In addition, the County contributed the following to ongoing operational costs: \$267,654 to the Community Action Agency, \$332,000 to Housing Counseling, \$760,000 to public service grantees and/or community based nonprofit organizations, \$793,167 in grants to homeless service providers, and \$4,009,378 expended for the operations/maintenance of the County's three largest homeless shelters which are located in County-owned buildings and operated by nonprofit agencies. During the reporting period, fair housing testing activities were met. The County contributed \$100,000 in County General Funds to these Fair Housing services. The County also received an increased award of \$892,206 in funding through the State of Maryland's Homeless Solutions Program used to fund homeless shelters, rapid rehousing, eviction prevention, and outreach services.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Community Conservation Areas	51	100	Land Management Area in Master Plan 2020, mainly older communities ringing the Baltimore Beltway
Opportunity Areas	0	0	Areas tied to Voluntary Compliance Agreement
Sustainable Communities	0	0	Communities approved by Governor's Smart Growth Subcabinet per Sustainable Communities Act 2010

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The overwhelming majority of the County's projects serve residents countywide. Those served by countywide projects do not pull into the chart above even though the County created a "Countywide" target area to assist in this chart's full representation of costs. As a result, the chart above is summarizing the activities of a very limited number of projects each year. The County had two public

service project located in a Community Conservation area which served youth. As the chart above reflects, the project served 100% youth from the defined area of the Community Conservation area, though in theory their activities fall in those areas more by service area footprint than specific project design to exclusively serve the Target Area population. Both projects assist youth in their catchment area related to their school enrollment. The County initially planned to use OLD CDBG and current year entitlement HOME funds in Opportunity Area projects in Rosedale, Towson, and on Oak Avenue. None of those projects moved forward during the CAPER period. The County used \$3 million in OLD CDBG for acquisition of 60 units of Opportunity Area affordable housing. No current year CDBG entitlement funds were used for the project. HOME-Funded permanent supportive housing only recently received County Council approval (after the close of the CAPER period) and cannot be included in the performance measures above until next year. No projects were targeted to a Sustainable Community.

As mentioned above, the County's HUD-funded entitlement projects are open to citizens countywide and are not restricted to one of the target communities mentioned above, even if physically located in one of the three target areas. All public service activities in Baltimore County are open to citizens countywide as long as the CDBG eligibility requirements are satisfied. For the purposes of building affordable housing, however, the County is prioritizing family housing in Opportunity Areas. Built environment projects around affordable housing are targeted to Opportunity Areas associated with the County's Voluntary Compliance Agreement. The Sustainable Community and Community Conservation Areas are locally designed and correspond to State or local urban planning/funding areas.

The distribution of funds above does not include the funds spent on administrative activities in support of General Administration, Housing Rehabilitation, Housing Services, and HOME Administration. Additionally, the three target areas above can overlap. As a result, a funded project could be represented across all three listed areas and/or a project could be physically be operated in one of the geographic areas above and serve citizens countywide. As a result, the numbers in the chart above represent a very limited slice of County activities and will represent only a small percentage of total funds spent.

Baltimore County owns four homeless shelter buildings in the County. Two of the buildings are located on the County's west side and two on the east. One building is used as a domestic violence shelter, one building as a family shelter, one building houses both a family shelter and a men's shelter, and the fourth building houses a men's shelter. The County owns the land for three of the shelters and the fourth shelter is located on State land. These properties are used to address the needs of persons experiencing homelessness and are one of the needs identified in the County's Consolidated Plan and Action Plan. The County allocated County General Funds and ESG funds to support smaller shelters, one is only opened during the winter months, serving single men and the other is an overnight family shelter. State grant funds also support two freezing weather shelter locations that are opened on nights with freezing temperatures only.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Public Resources

Baltimore County continued working with the Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development, the State's financing agency, to provide local funding and support for state-funded projects within the county. The County's program to provide assistance to first-time homebuyers works in tandem with state mortgage loans as well. The County delegated over \$30 million in bond authority to the State of Maryland to issue mortgages under the Maryland Mortgage Program, the Lead Hazard Reduction Grant and Loan Program (LHRGLP), and the Accessible Homes for Seniors Program (AHFS). The County is a Maryland State Level II Authority and originates and approves single-family rehabilitation loans under the Maryland Rehabilitation Loan Program (MHRP), the Lead Hazard Reduction Grant and Loan Program (LHRGLP), and the Accessible Homes for Seniors Program (AHFS). This past year, the County supported two LHRGLPs.

HUD-leveraged Resources and Matching Grants

Baltimore County's Continuum of Care services funding in the amount of \$2.84 million addressed the needs of the homeless. Baltimore County more than covered its ESG match requirements. Match came from a variety of sources including County General Funds dedicated to shelter operations (\$4,158,013) and state funds for shelter operations (\$303,000). The following ESG grants were matched with the above funds: Community Assistance Network (CAN) street outreach, Prologue street outreach, Cornerstone Rapid Rehousing, St. Vincent de Paul RRH and Churches for Streets of Hope Men's Cold Weather Shelter. Normally, the County's match requirement for the HOME program is 25% of each annual allocation from non-federal sources to be expended on the development of affordable and supportive housing. Baltimore County's match is derived from the affordable housing projects associated with the County's Voluntary Compliance Agreement (VCA) and the associated creation of hard units funding with County funding. Each VCA project funded includes documentation in its loan agreement that these funds are match to the County's HOME funds. Baltimore County's match requirement is calculated in accordance with 24 CFR 92.218 as amended and consists of revenue bonds, cash, and tax savings from negotiated tax agreements with developers of affordable housing. Baltimore County's HOME Matching Liability for the program year was met as demonstrated below.

Private Resources

The County continues outreach to local banks and lending institutions to expand community reinvestment activities; both participate in the County's Settlement Expense Loan Program (SELP). They agree to companion their first mortgage products with our SELP loans and also participate on the boards

of directors of our nonprofit housing organizations. In FFY 2023 (CFY 2024), approximately \$1,000,000 million in first mortgages were leveraged due to the County’s investment in closing cost loans for income-eligible first-time homebuyers.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	17,391,598
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	250,000
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	17,641,598
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	262,851
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	17,378,747

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
EGH Portfolio 1	11/30/2023	100,000	0	0	0	0	0	100,000
EGH Portfolio 2	03/01/2024	50,000	0	0	0	0	0	50,000
EGH Portfolio 3	04/08/2024	50,000	0	0	0	0	0	50,000
EGH Portfolio 4	05/01/2024	50,000	0	0	0	0	0	50,000

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	260,883	0	0	0

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	2	0	0	0	2	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	6	0	1	3	1	1
Dollar Amount	4,508,544	0	439,680	3,739,709	228,285	100,870
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	2	2	0			
Dollar Amount	361,422	361,422	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	22	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	178	149
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	44	21
Total	244	170

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	5	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	151	43
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	88	67
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	60
Total	244	170

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

CAPER One Year Goal numbers above show 244 households projected to be assisted (22 homeless, 178 non-homeless, and 44 with special needs). Of these 244, original projections showed 5 in rental assistance, 151 in production of new units, and 88 in rehab of existing units. Actual numbers demonstrated 170 household units assisted (0 homeless, 149 non-homeless, and 21 special needs). That 170 represents zero (0) homeless units, 43 new units produced, 67 existing units rehabilitated, and acquisition of 60 units of existing housing.

One 84-unit project of senior rental housing projected with HOME funds should have been labeled as 40 units. The complex held 84 units of senior housing, but the County only financed 40 of those 84 planned units. A permanent supportive housing project for 22 homeless women is still waiting to move forward. The County's Housing Opportunities Program has traditionally supported rehabilitation, housing accessibility modification, construction, and acquisition in a variety of forms. The County successfully assisted residents in need of affordable housing through the Single-Family Rehab program (16), HAMP Homeowners (25), rehabilitation of Alternative Living Units (ALUs) for citizens living with a disability (16), HAMP for renters (5), HOME Senior Rehabilitation (5), production of new affordable homeowner units (3), production of new rental units for seniors (40), and acquisition of existing units (60). The above projects occurred during the CAPER period. Some have been fully completed in IDIS while others await final beneficiary data.

The reason for the decrease between the County's goal of 244 and actual served number of 170 was largely due to a mislabeling of units that took one from project from 84 to 40 (-44) and another project not moving forward that accounted for an additional 40 units of rental housing. Additionally, COVID and inflation continue to impact projects. Increased costs/shortages of materials continue to impact original cost projections for single family rehab and housing accessibility modifications. These tend to result in fewer rehabilitated households than anticipated. Delays in processing (receiving complete information from borrowers and funders) can contribute to the challenge.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

In addition to the activities in the chart above, the County also assisted 10 of the originally projected 35 new housing units through its Settlement Expense Loan Program. Those numbers for Homebuyer Assistance are not included in the chart above. Baltimore County has included a Table 3B Section 215 report as an attachment within the Administrative tab of this CAPER because this section of the CAPER does not allow the inclusion of this chart. The Table 3B chart will show that 180 total households assisted (170 + 10) on the Section 215 accomplishment for the CAPER period because it adds the 10 households under the homebuyer assistance category that is excluded from the chart above.

The increase in cost associated with homeowner rehabilitation will mean that the County is unable to take on as many projects in the future and may ultimately fall short of our Consolidated Plan projections if prices continue to rise and permit delays continue to be a challenge related to COVID. HAMP activities are also growing in cost and may be reduced in future Action Plans, thereby reducing the County's total production during the Consolidated Plan period. After a delay in projects due to COVID, the County expects to continue to see HAMP and Single Family Rehab (SFR) requests rise in the coming fiscal year despite the added cost per project.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	2,009	1
Low-income	872	1
Moderate-income	160	0
Total	3,041	2

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

Over this past program year, the majority of the household incomes of the families receiving assistance through our Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Home Investment Partnership (HOME) Programs (as shown in the PR 23 report) were extremely low income with incomes between 0-30 percent of the area median income (AMI).

Baltimore County data breakdown for the combined CDBG and HOME families served shows that the household incomes of the vast majority of those being served (66%) fell into the <30% AMI (extremely low income), 29% fell into the 31% -50% AMI (low-income) and 5% of the families fell into the 51-80% AMI (low-moderate income).

Multiple projects mentioned in the Affordable Housing section above were underway during the CAPER period, but may not show in IDIS as completed. For this reason, the numbers below may not always match the total number in the Affordable Housing chart above. IDIS PR-23 CDBG Summary off Accomplishments shows 32 extremely low income (0-30% AMI), 57 low income (31-50 % AMI), and 25 moderate income (51-80% AMI) for a total CDBG housing total of 114. IDIS Activity reports for housing activities during the CAPER period break down as follows: DHCD Single Family Rehab completed the rehabilitation of forty-eight (48) homeowner units, of which eight (8) units were extremely low-income (0-30% AMI); twenty-three (23) units were low-income (31-50% AMI), and seventeen (17) units were moderate-income (51-80% AMI), Baltimore County homeowners – with some units closed from the prior program year. Baltimore County DHCD HAMP for Homeowners in IDIS shows completed rehabilitation for seventeen (17) homeowner units, with eleven (11) units extremely low-income (0-30% AMI), five (5) units low-moderate (31-50% AMI), and one (1) moderate-income (51-80% AMI) homeowners which received rehabilitation for their homes. Baltimore County DHCD HAMP for Renters completed rehabilitation on six (6) rental units, with three (3) units were for extremely low-income (0-30% AMI), two (2) units were for low-income (31-50% AMI), and one (1) unit was for moderate-income (51-80% AMI) renter units receiving rehabilitation to maintain safe units those households. A portion of the completed units were from the prior program year. Baltimore County used both the HOME and CDBG Program funding for Homebuyer Assistance to support the acquisition of ten (10) existing units of affordable owner-occupied units. Seven of those units were expected to use 2019 funding, but the drawdown of funds was blocked by HUD. Baltimore County is waiting on a HUD Headquarters waiver and reopening of the 2019 funds. The HOME Summary of Accomplishments is only showing two

households assisted. A first-time homebuyer between 61-80% of AMI and a existing homeowner between 0-30% AMI. Baltimore County was able to rehabilitate 16 units of affordable special-needs housing (Alternative Living Units (ALUS)) for Baltimore County residents living with a severe disability that are extremely low-income (0-30% AMI) residents. Rebuilding Together Baltimore received CDBG funding for rehabilitation administration funding which supported the rehabilitation of forty-two (42) homeowner occupied units, of which twenty (20) units were for extremely low-income (0-30% AMI) and twenty-one (21) units were low-income (31-50% AMI), and one (1) unit was moderate-income (51-80% AMI) Baltimore County homeowners.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Baltimore County and its Continuum of Care (CoC) have supported outreach efforts to the homeless for many years. During this CAPER period, the county maintained its commitment by administering and overseeing funding allocated to two non-profit homeless outreach providers Prologue Inc. and Community Assistance Network (CAN). Between 7/1/23-6/30/24 both CAN and Prologue assisted 540 individuals (472 households) that met HUD's definition of literally homeless, with services, shelter and permanent housing placements. Each January, the CoC conducts its annual Point in Time (PIT) count aimed at estimating the sheltered and unsheltered individuals experiencing homelessness in the county. Baltimore County did complete a sheltered and unsheltered PIT count in January 2024 for a total count of 536 individuals (421 sheltered and 115 unsheltered individuals). Adding a second outreach team has allowed Baltimore County to reduced our unsheltered PIT count by 53% since 2019 (249 down to 115 in 2024).

During County Fiscal Year 2024, Prologue was awarded a total of \$541K in County, ESG, CoC and state funds and with that, they assisted 381 individuals (352 HH's) in total, 20% of which exited to a positive housing destination during the fiscal year. During that same time period CAN received \$110k to fund their outreach services, which included county, ESG and state funds. They assisted 159 individuals (120 HH's) and 53% of those moved into a positive housing destination during the fiscal year.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Baltimore County offered shelter through the following facilities:

During County Fiscal Year 2024, the County used ESG funding to support the operation of the Churches for Streets of Hope Men's shelter, winter shelter, which served 43 single men during that timeframe. Baltimore County funds all of the other shelters listed above, with county and state funds.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Baltimore County has and continues to work aggressively to prevent homelessness and ensure that low

and very-low income populations receive homeless prevention services. The Baltimore County Department of Social Services (DSS) operates Coordinated Entry (CE) that functions as the centralized access point for assessment, prioritization and referral to all emergency services including homeless prevention, homeless outreach, emergency shelter, rapid re-housing and permanent supportive housing. Those at risk of homelessness call the CE hotline to be assessed, prioritized, and referred as necessary to emergency services. Annual CDBG expenditures for homeless prevention through Subsistence Payments in Federal Fiscal Year 2023 was \$58,818.73, while CDBG-CV funding for subsistence payments was just under \$1.5 million. County grant agreements require organizations report the number of clients served who are low income and extremely low income.

CDBG funding helped to leverage a total investment from a wide variety of sources, including county funds, of \$3.86 million toward homeless prevention efforts . Most homeless prevention providers enter information about the clients they serve into the county's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), managed by DHCD which is the lead agency of the CoC. HMIS reports indicate that providers participating in HMIS data entry, served 3,039 individuals (2,156 adults and 852 children) across 59 total emergency services project across the homeless continuum of services. Of that 3,039 total, 1,483 were served in emergency shelter or through street outreach and 295 were served through permanent housing and 436 across all program types, met the HUD definition of Chronically Homeless. The Maryland Food Bank reported that it distributed 8,779,572 pounds of food in Baltimore County, representing 7,316,309 meals distributed. Additionally, the county Department of Social Services provides a wide array of services, including eviction prevention, that contribute to homeless prevention.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Baltimore County remained fully committed to the policy priorities outlined by its federal and state funders. Baltimore County received HUD TA to revise its CoC Governance Board. The COC is in the process of updating the County's 10 Year Plan to End Homelessness which will include policy goals outlined to address the following priorities:

- Goal 1: Resource Connection – To ensure anyone experiencing homelessness or at risk of homelessness is connected to all qualifying resources, benefits, supportive services and housing benefits the CoC offers.
- Goal 2 - Racial Disparities – The COC will use data and measurable programmatic outcomes to ensure policy and programmatic service delivery is addressing racial disparities across the system and creating more equitable access to services and emergency resources.
- Goal 3 – Expand Rental Assistance and landlord engagement – The CoC will work to expand landlord

engagement to ensure those with short-term rental assistance receive consistent service delivery and have options to live where they choose.

- Goal 4 – Ending Veteran Homelessness – the CoC will work to reach Functional Zero for veteran homelessness by the end of 2024. Functional zero is met when the number of active homeless veterans meets or is less than the 6 month average housing placement rate.
- Goal 5 – Ensure Accurate HMIS Data – The CoC will utilize HMIS data to inform and guide programmatic and funding decisions based on performance trends recorded in HMIS.
- Goal 6 – CoC Policy - Develop, expand and update CoC policy for all funded programs and projects.
- Goal 7 – Allocate and Prioritize Resources - Fund and allocate resources to homeless service projects that meet the needs of the CoC, priority populations to address evolving needs of those experiencing homelessness in Baltimore County.
- Goal 8 – Consumer Voice - Integrate the voice of People with Lived Experience (PWLE) to inform the work of the CoC as a whole.
- Goal 9 – Advocacy - Advocate for the Expansion of affordable housing options.

Baltimore County's funded outreach providers continued their focus on the chronically and long-term unsheltered around the county. During the reporting period, Baltimore County funded two Rapid Rehousing (RRH) providers to assist households to move into permanent housing with time-limited rental support. Cornerstone (Neighbor to Neighbor) served 75 individuals in (26 HH's). The second RRH provider funded was St. Vincent de Paul, who assisted 43 individuals (20 HH's) during the reporting period. The prioritization and referral process for RRH changed significantly during County Fiscal Year 2024, due to the end of federal treasury COVID relief funds aimed at homeless prevention. The CoC chose to prioritize RRH funding for those with long time homeless histories, those over the age of 55 who were in shelter or unsheltered (served by an outreach team), and families with repeat stays in shelter.

According to the Housing Inventory Count between 2023 and 2024, the County increased its permanent supportive housing (PSH) units by 13% from 511 to 578, dedicated to the chronically homeless. During that same timeframe, the County decreased its rapid rehousing placements from 246 to 111. This 54% decrease reflected on our Housing Inventory Count (HIC) was due to the loss of ESG-CV funds that were used to fund an expansion of RRH during 2022 and 2023.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Baltimore County has no public housing properties and therefore this section is not applicable.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

While Baltimore County manages no public housing sites, it encourages independence among its Housing Choice Voucher holders. Baltimore County sent information on its Family Self Sufficiency program to all Housing Choice Voucher program participants. The County enrolled 194 households in the program as of 7/31/2024. FSS program participants were provided resources throughout the contract term of the program which includes financial counseling, budgeting, and referrals to community partners and resources to assist participants in becoming self-sufficient renters or homeowners. The contract term is generally five years, with up to a two year extension. To date during this Consolidated Plan period, 32 FSS participants have become homeowners.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Baltimore County has no public housing properties and is not considered a troubled PHA.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Baltimore County law prohibits housing discrimination based on lawful sources of income. The County continued to use HOME and CDBG to increase access to homeownership and maintain and increase housing for low-moderate income households. The County continued its support of local fair housing efforts, including providing financial support to the Baltimore Metropolitan Council (BMC) for its regional fair housing efforts. These efforts include funding a portion of BMC's Regional Housing Policy Coordinator position and the work associated with the Regional Analysis of Impediments (AI) as performed by consultant, Root Policy. County Department of Housing and Community Development staff engaged in monthly and other periodic meetings with BMC's multi-jurisdictional Fair Housing Group, AI Regional Stakeholder Group and Regional Public Housing Authorities Group. The County contracted with the Economic Action Maryland to provide assistance to County households in need of tenant advocacy services, fair housing complaint assistance; and contracted with the Equal Rights Center to provide, rental testing, sales testing and lending testing.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Baltimore County has a number of programs designed to address the obstacles to meeting underserved needs and these programs are spread throughout Baltimore County and address a myriad of needs. Rental and mortgage assistance are available at a number of non-profit partners around the County and housing counselors are available to assist individuals and families remain in their homes while addressing issues that may lead to homelessness. Primarily designed for the homeless, Baltimore County produced a "Street Card" listing all available services and contact numbers for applicable social service agencies. Food pantries, employment counseling, and transportation assistance are also available.

The County created a resource guide and food pantry list to assist County residents access available services and supports. The guide provides information on healthcare resources, food resources, housing and utilities assistance, judicial and legal assistance, workers and business owners assistance, internet and wifi access, services for seniors and Spanish speaking residents as well as resources for consumers. In addition, the County partnered with multiple food banks to provide food accessible sites throughout the County. The County continued its eviction prevention program using some CDBG-CV funds as well as U.S. Treasury ERAP funding. While the majority of ERAP funding has been expended, limited rental assistance remains and residents can work with a County-funded nonprofit to receive assistance.

Affordable and accessible housing for people with disabilities can also be difficult to find in Baltimore County which is why the County continued to use entitlement funds in support of the Housing Accessibility Modification Program to fund the construction of ramps and other modifications to

increase the number of handicapped accessible units.

The County completed a comprehensive roof renovation for Prologue headquarters which serves homeless and persons with behavioral/mental health issues as well as an air filtration system for an Easter Seals senior care center. As reported on in the prior CAPER, the County also completed outfitting the capital needs of a Baltimore Medical System building in Essex. Prior year ALU projects at the Board of Child Care and ARC Baltimore were also completed. Using current year funding, the County funded rehabilitation work for four ALUS (Richcroft, CHIMES (2), and NCIA) for persons with disabilities.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Lead-Safe Baltimore County (LSBC) was awarded a federal grant of two million dollars (2,000,000.00), from The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development on January 1, 2021. This grant is one of the main funding sources for LSBC to this date. Requirements of the grant allow lead hazard testing in one hundred eligible units, owner or rented in Baltimore County Maryland. Ninety-three (93) eligible units that have tested positive, for the presence of lead will receive remediation through the federal grant. To this point, Baltimore County has completed (49) risk assessments and remediated (5) units. Our office continues to provide information to residents of Baltimore County. Referral to the State of Maryland Special Loan programs are offered to residents that apply for assistance that is offered through the county. Through Baltimore County's Single Family Rehabilitation program, each home that is assisted is tested for lead and radon. For extensive cases in need of remediation, the county utilizes the State of Maryland Lead Hazard Reduction Program for unit remediation. The County continues to improve lead safety and housing stability of the homes that received federal funding. Baltimore County has been working diligently to find residents, willing to participate in Lead Safe Baltimore County throughout Covid-19.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Beyond the projects identified in the Action Plan, Baltimore County recognized a continued need for County funding of a variety of nonprofit and government efforts to assist children and their families threatened by poverty. County General Funds were used during the CAPER period Abilities Network (kindergarten readiness in economically-stressed communities), Baltimore County Health Department Shelter Nurse program (increased health services in shelter), Churches for Streets of Hope (freezing weather shelter), Community Assistance Network (eviction prevention, food bank, financial literacy), Conflict Resolution Center (trainings resolving disputes), Deltas (afterschool), Dundalk Renaissance Corporation (community revitalization services) Episcopal Housing's St Francis Center (eviction prevention), Family Crisis Center (domestic violence victim advocacy, transitional housing), House of Ruth (domestic violence services), Liberty's Promise (services to immigrant youth), Lighthouse (youth mentoring and counseling), Maryland Food Bank, Meal on Wheels (meals for low-income), Pro Bono Counseling Project (mental health counseling), Prologue (homeless outreach), St Vincent de Paul (shelter diversion, shelter and youth healthy food access), TALMAR (therapeutic horticulture), and Turnaround (domestic violence transitional housing and emergency shelter). The County used State Homeless

Solutions Program funds for sheltering through the Family Crisis Center for emergency and transitional shelter programs; and, housing for homeless youth through Prologue. The County also used State Eviction Assistance Program funds through CAN's Outreach Program.

In addition, the County's Department of Social Services continued to assist families by providing cash assistance, housing and energy assistance and food assistance to lower income families. The County's Department of Economic and Workforce Development, Public Schools, Libraries and Community Colleges also continued to support services to prevent individuals and families from falling into poverty.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the County assisted households through its Eviction Prevention Program. During the reporting period, 2,766 persons received subsistence payments totaling \$1,499,999.11 in COVID-related eviction prevention program assistance.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The County's work throughout this fiscal year reflected a highly collaborative process that included participation from low-to moderate-income persons and communities, nonprofit organizations, individuals, government agencies and business associations to help identify goals and objectives and measure our progress in meeting those goals. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the County developed institutional responses to meet the emerging needs of its citizens and has continued many of these efforts into this reporting period. The County continued workgroups/partnerships around Food Instability and Housing Instability begun during the pandemic. Partners in these efforts came from County agencies, the County Executive's office, members of our County Commission on Disabilities, Baltimore County Continuum of Care Homeless (CoC), provider stakeholders, and other impacted business partners. In addition to these workgroups, the County maintained its tradition of involving broad groups in developing its institutional structure. RFP review committees included public and private members. Roundtable membership continued to be open to all interested community members and organizations and included public and private nonprofit agencies, faith-based organizations, service providers, mainstream programs, consumers, and concerned citizens. The County tried to maximize resources and leverage public and private funds to carry out the goals and objectives identified in Baltimore County's Consolidated Plan – all while involving our stakeholders as partners in shaping the balance between citizen needs and available resources.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

As mentioned above, the County continues to involve a wide variety of stakeholders in its service delivery and in the discussion about how to enhance services provided in Baltimore County. Baltimore County Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) serves as the Lead Agency to the Homeless Continuum of Care (CoC). Through that role the DHCD and the CoC continue to identify and develop partnerships, setting policy and funding priorities and performance standards, necessary to serve those who are most vulnerable in Baltimore County. As mentioned earlier, DHCD has received

over one year of HUD TA to assist our efforts in completely revamping the structure of our CoC Governance Board and Governance Documents. The first order of business for the CoC Governance Board was to approve the newly created consolidated CoC Standard Operating Policies (SOP) document to guide all funded providers, regardless of funding source.

In addition, the County works with developers and non-profits to expand the range of housing options in Baltimore County. The County provided a pool of County General Funds to assist developers develop more low-income housing creation in the County.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Baltimore County identified three impediments to fair housing choice in its Consolidated Plan: 1) Lack of Quantitative Objectives; 2) Redevelopment Barriers; and 3) Source of Income Restrictions. During the CAPER period, the County continued to financially support the Baltimore Metropolitan Council's Regional Housing Policy Coordinator position in advancing the collaborative mission, along with other jurisdictional partners, to affirmatively further fair housing and carry out the Baltimore Regional Fair Housing Action Plan in its 2020 Analysis of Impediments. The County worked with developers to review potential projects and continued to put money aside for the creation of hard units, though no new units were created during the CAPER period. Through June 30, 2024, 754 units were approved and/or in the pipeline; 632 units were completed as either new construction or were substantially rehabbed; 455 units were leased; 222 units were leased to families with incomes at 30 percent or less of the AMI; 296 units leased had three or more bedrooms; and 20 leased units were defined as "accessible" per the VCA. The County continued to fund the Housing Accessibility Modification Program (HAMP) for both housing voucher holders and non-voucher renters to make rental units accessible for those needing modifications. Through outreach, testing initiatives, and tenant advocacy, the County continues to educate and enforce its own, and the State's, new Home Act laws which now provide another tool for stopping source of income discrimination in Baltimore County.

Baltimore County participated in the regional work of the Baltimore Metropolitan Committee (BMC) which serves as a regional forum for discussing AI implementation.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Baltimore County believes in a strong quality assurance system which includes a comprehensive monitoring strategy of all Federal formula entitlement funds, including CDBG, HOME, ESG and the Continuum of Care programs. The basis for these monitoring efforts are those set forth in HUD regulations and any HUD monitoring guidance. To assure that there are mechanisms in place to enforce compliance with regulatory requirements and all other appropriate standards, grant and contract agreements detail the standards of accountability for subrecipients and standard templates are approved by the County Law Office. These agreements provide specific detail regarding regulatory requirements, e.g. Davis Bacon Act requirements, MBE/WBE expectations, Scope of Work, Outcome Performance Measurements, reversion of assets, and the documentation that must be collected and maintained to evidence national objective compliance.

Program and financial staff working with CDBG, HOME, ESG and CoC funds are responsible for conducting monitoring reviews of subrecipient agencies. These monitoring efforts ensure that all subrecipients maintain appropriate documentation to support funded efforts. Monthly desk reviews are conducted of costs charged before subrecipient reimbursements occur and matched with monthly statistical reports to ensure on-task performance with expected program goals. On site reviews of subrecipient agencies also occur throughout the program year and include program file review, financial record review to support costs submitted for reimbursement, income verification and/or presumed eligibility determination, and other program specific certifications for federal funds like affirmatively furthering fair housing efforts, drug-free workplace, non-discrimination and equal opportunity policies, etc. On site reviews include an exit interview and follow up correspondence detailing monitoring efforts.

The goal of all monitoring efforts, whether through desk review or on-site visits, is to identify areas of success for the subrecipients as well as areas of deficiency and to provide technical assistance to assist subrecipients correct deficient practices. This technical assistance should result in compliance with federal laws, regulations, policies and procedures that support proper administration of federal programs and minimize the risk of improper use of federal funds.

During the CAPER period, the County performed monthly desk reviews on all subgrantees receiving CDBG and ESG funds. Files were reviewed for compliance with both program and fiscal goals. Projects receiving federal funds were monitored in accordance with federal guidelines. During FFY23, an annual monitoring was conducted for two ESG funded providers: Prologue, Inc - Street Outreach and Cornerstone Franciscan Ministries, Rapid Rehousing Project (no findings). Prologue's findings included slow spending of ESG funds.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

This CAPER represents an assessment of activities and accomplishments by Baltimore County in its use of its annual entitlement funds from CDBG, HOME, and ESG during the prior fiscal year ending June 30. Completion of annual CAPER is required by HUD to be submitted for review by or before September 27 of the year for the prior fiscal year. For this CAPER, HUD has set the due date as September 30, 2024.

The CAPER allows HUD to assess the County's administrative capacity to effectively utilize its federal entitlements in order to achieve its Consolidated Plan goals and objectives in compliance with the primary statutory objectives of providing decent housing and a suitable living environment for its low and moderate income residents. The CAPER also includes objective measurement of performance against numeric five-year targets for affordable housing and community development activities as identified in the Consolidated Plan.

The regulations at 24 CFR 91.105 require the following citizen participation aspects in regards to the CAPER:

- The citizen participation plan must provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports that is not less than 15 days. The plan must state how reasonable notice and opportunity will be given.
- The citizen participation plan shall require the jurisdiction to consider any comments or views of the citizens received in writing or orally. A summary of these comments or views, if any are received, are included as part of this CAPER.

The opportunity for public involvement is provided through solicitation of public review and comments. The draft CAPER is made available for public review in all County libraries and on the Baltimore County website at www.baltimorecountymd.gov/agencies/housing. Written comments received during the public comment period are recorded and evaluated, with results incorporated as appropriate into the final CAPER. A written summary of comments, and any responses, is included with the CAPER and submitted to HUD. The public comment period began on September 12, 2024 and concluded on September 26, 2024. No written comments were submitted during the public comment period, and, therefore, no written summary of comments and responses has been included with this submission.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

Impact on Identified Needs -The County continued to promote affordable rental housing for low-income households and affordable housing in areas not experiencing racial and ethnic concentrations or areas of high poverty. As promised, the County supported programs dealing with day care, parenting, domestic violence, sexual abuse, life skills, job readiness and eviction prevention as well as programs providing supportive services and rapid rehousing for those experiencing homelessness. Some efforts yielded greater results than expected and others at a lesser rate. In sum total, however, the County made an impact on its identified needs.

Indicators that Describe Results - Baltimore County selected 15 goals across five priority need areas as follows: 1) Affordable Housing, 2) Housing for the Homeless/Those At-Risk of Homelessness, 3) Housing Opportunities for Non-Homeless Special Needs, 4) Community Infrastructure, and 5) Community Sustainability. These can be found in Section CR 05 - Goals and Outcomes.

Barriers to Fulfilling the Strategies and Overall Vision – Both the County and its nonprofit partners continue to build back after COVID and showed increases beyond anticipated goals. In one case, however, a change in staffing resulted in fewer individuals served. Additionally, a continued barrier in Baltimore County is community opposition to development in general and affordable rental housing for families, in particular. Baltimore County continues to follow the steps outlined in the VCA.

Status of Grant Programs/Activities Falling Behind – During this grant period, the County expended nearly \$1.1 million on residential rehab. After prior issues with contractor availability and rising costs, the County saw movement. Although several capital projects (public facilities) were delayed, completion is expected in FFY 2024. The County also completed several capital projects originally funded in FFY22. The SELP program continued to be impacted by rising home prices which decreases affordability in a tight housing market.

Grant Disbursement Timely - All activities associated with the program year (other than those mentioned above) are on schedule and expected to meet or exceed goals.

Major Goals on Target and Any Changes to Program Objectives - The County is constantly adjusting to respond to activities not meeting goals. Baltimore County's major goals are on target as discussed above. For those initiatives not meeting goals, technical assistance/ programmatic review by County staff is offered. Assistance is provided when programs have difficulty meeting expected goals and for successful efforts needing assistance due to high levels of demand/success.

In a clarification to our Fair Housing goal chart, the original Consolidated Plan divided the County into 4 sectors with the expectation of performing testing across the County. The goal chart shows 4 as the

outcome for the year and 20 as the outcome for the Consolidated Plan period. A better measurement is the number of tests conducted during the period. In FFY23, the County conducted 26 Source of Funds (SOI) rental tests, 22 Disability rental tests and 4 Gender phone lending tests via single-sided, phone methodology; and 4 Race rental tests and 4 National Origin rental tests via in-person, matched- pair methodology for a total of 40. These numbers are reported in the goal chart, but distort the original projections. In reality, the project is on track with expected testing rates.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

During this reporting period, HOME site monitoring resumed in accordance with HUD guidelines for HOME-assisted rental projects. Projects inspected during the program year were Pikeswood Apartments, Greens at English Counsel, Glen Manor, Portside Apartments, River Crossing, River Gates, Hollis Ferry Senior Housing, The Greens at Rolling Road, Weinberg 4, Weinberg 5, Day Village, Aigburth Vale, Cove Point, Greens at Logan Fields, Chapel Springs Apartment, Prospect Place, Parkview Rosedale, Henrietta Lacks Village, Lyon Home Townhouses and Dunfield Townhomes. No major issues were detected. A total of 816 units were inspected by on staff inspectors. Where issues were detected, letters were sent to property managers and owners of deficient units. Thirty (30) days were allowed for corrections to be made.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

In an effort to affirmatively market HOME-funded project, Baltimore County targets groups that may not normally have access to government-funded programs. The typically underserved populations of African-American, Hispanic, and people with a disability were primary recipients of the County's outreach efforts to affirmatively market HOME-funded projects via community-based organizations, nonprofit organizations, religious organizations, social organizations, real estate companies/organizations and lenders. More than 90% of those receiving homebuyer education and housing counseling were African-Americans. Seventy-five percent of those receiving HOME-funded Settlement Expense Loan Program (SELP) funds were minorities.

Baltimore County held its annual "Meet the Primes" event on October 10, 2023 at the Timonium Fairgrounds. The event was held in conjunction with Baltimore County Public Schools. On October 26, 2023, Baltimore County's Department of Housing and Community Development held a Developer's social. On April 18, 2024, DHCD convened it's a Baltimore County Annual Development meeting at the Center for Maryland Agriculture and Farm Park .

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Baltimore County receipted \$260,883.02 in program income(HP Recaptured) for the FFY2023 reporting

period. Total draws used for funding HOME-assisted projects were \$1,241,509.63 with \$1,000,000 for new construction of 40 senior rental units, plus \$6,500.00 assisting existing homeowners and \$81,331.61 for first time homebuyers as identified in the PR23 HOME report.

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k)
(STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)**

Not applicable. State only.

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	0	2	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours		157			
Total Section 3 Worker Hours		55			
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours		55			

Table 14 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers					
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.		0			
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).					
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.					
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).					
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.		0			
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.					
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.		0			
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.					
Held one or more job fairs.		0			
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.					
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.					
Assisted residents with finding child care.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.		0			
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.		0			
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.					
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.					
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.		0			
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.					

Other.					
--------	--	--	--	--	--

Table 15 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

Baltimore County undertook Section 3 activities with its Merritt Station, 84 unit rental new constuction project.

Attachment

Table 3B

**Table 3B
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING COMPLETION GOALS**

Grantee Name: Baltimore County, MD Program Year: FFY2023/CFY2024	Expected Annual Number of Units To Be Completed	Actual Annual # of Units Completed	Resources used during the period			
			CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA
BENEFICIARY GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Homeless households	22	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-homeless households	178	149	X	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Special needs households	44	21	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Beneficiaries* *(includes Homebuyer Assistance)	244	170	X	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
RENTAL GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Acquisition of existing units	0	60	X	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units	148	40	<input type="checkbox"/>	X		<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units	27	21	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rental Assistance	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Rental	175	121	X	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOME OWNER GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Acquisition of existing units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Production of new units	3	3	<input type="checkbox"/>	X		
Rehabilitation of existing units	66	46	X	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Homebuyer Assistance	35	10	<input type="checkbox"/>	X		<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Owner	104	59	X	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
COMBINED RENTAL AND OWNER GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Acquisition of existing units	0	60	X	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units	151	43	<input type="checkbox"/>	X		<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units	93	67	X	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rental Assistance	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Homebuyer Assistance	35	10	<input type="checkbox"/>	X		<input type="checkbox"/>
Combined Total Sec. 215 Goals*	279	180	X	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OVERALL HOUSING GOALS (Sec. 215 + Other Affordable Housing)						
Annual Rental Housing Goal	175	121	X	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Annual Owner Housing Goal	104	59	X	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Overall Housing Goal	279	180	X	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ESG FFY2023 HMIS Report

Report Run History

Report ID	Date Ran (Run-time)	Report Type Name	User Creating	Running Provider	Running User	Report Status
59142	07/16/2024 02:45:02 PM (0.25 mins)	EsgCaper APR Projects for DHCD Annual CAPER Report Submission FY24 7.1.23 to 6.30.24	Joe McRedmond	Team HMIS	Joe McRedmond	Completed

Showing 1-1 of 1

Report Options

Name: APR Projects for DHCD Annual CAPER Report Submission FY24 7.1.23 to 6.30.24

Description:

Provider Type: Provider Reporting Group

Reporting Group *: Projects for DHCD Annual CAPER Report Submission FY24

Program Date Range *: 07/01/2023 to 06/30/2024

Entry/Exit Types *: Basic Basic Center Program Entry/Exit HUD PATH Quick Call RHY Standard Transitional Living Program Entry/Exit VA HPRP (Retired)

ESG CAPER Report Results - Date Ran: 07/16/2024 02:45:02 PM - Report ID: 59142

4a - Project Identifiers in HMIS

#	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	All
Organization Name	Org. ID	Project Name	Project ID	HMIS Project Type	RRH Subtype	Coordinated Entry Access Point	Affiliated with a residential project	Project IDs of Affiliation	CoC Number	Geocode	Victim Service Provider	HMIS Software Name and Version Number	Report Start Date	Report End Date	Total Active Clients	Total Active Households											
CAN: Agency	4	CAN: Homeless Street Outreach (NF - Street)	6313	Street Outreach (HUD)		No			MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2023-07-01	2024-06-30	159	120											

		Outreach - 505)														
N2N: RRH (ESG)	6222	N2N: RRH (ESG)	6222	PH - Rapid Re-Housing (HUD)	RRH: Housing with or without services	No			MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2023-07-01	2024-06-30	75	26
Prologue: Agency	17	Prologue: HUD Street Outreach (HUD CoC: Supportive Services Only - Street Outreach - 505)	3201	Street Outreach (HUD)		No			MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2023-07-01	2024-06-30	381	352
SoH: FWS West Side (NF - ES - 505)	6299	SoH: FWS West Side (NF - ES - 505)	6299	Emergency Shelter - Entry Exit (HUD)		No			MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2023-07-01	2024-06-30	107	96
SoH: Men's Shelter (NF - ES - 505)	6193	SoH: Men's Shelter (NF - ES - 505)	6193	Emergency Shelter - Entry Exit (HUD)		No			MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2023-07-01	2024-06-30	43	43
SVDP: Agency	6254	SVDP: RRH (HUD ESG - RRH - 505)	6223	PH - Rapid Re-Housing (HUD)	RRH: Housing with or without services	No			MD-505	249005	False	WellSky Community Services	2023-07-01	2024-06-30	43	20

Showing 1-6 of 6

5a - Report Validation Table

Report Validation Table	Count of Clients for DQ	Count of Clients
1. Total Number of Persons Served	596	808
2. Number of Adults (age 18 or over)	523	709
3. Number of Children (under age 18)	69	83
4. Number of Persons with Unknown Age	4	16

5. Number of Leavers	430	581			
6. Number of Adult Leavers	391	523			
7. Number of Adult and Head of Household Leavers	392	525			
8. Number of Stayers	227	227			
9. Number of Adult Stayers	132	186			
10. Number of Veterans	16	22			
11. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons	104	185			
12. Number of Youth Under Age 25	15	20			
13. Number of Parenting Youth Under Age 25 with Children	2	4			
14. Number of Adult Heads of Household	488	650			
15. Number of Child And Unknown-Age Heads of Household	4	7			
16. Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More	33	45			
6a - Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information					
Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	% of Issue Rate
Name (3.01)	0	0	3	3	1%
Social Security Number (3.02)	26	86	5	92	15%
Date of Birth (3.03)	3	7	1	11	2%
Race and Ethnicity (3.04)	2	2		4	1%
Gender (3.06)	1	3		4	1%
Overall Score				100	17%
6b - Data Quality: Universal Data Elements					

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	% of Issue Rate		
Veteran Status (3.7)	2	69	0	71	12%		
Project Start Date (3.10)			0	0	0%		
Relationship to Head of Household (3.15)		6	20	26	4%		
Enrollment CoC (3.16)		1	0	1	0%		
Disabling Condition (3.8)	7	59	14	80	13%		
6c - Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality							
Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	% of Issue Rate		
Destination (3.12)	0	30		30	7%		
Income and Sources (4.2) at Start	4	59	42	101	19%		
Income and Sources (4.2) at Annual Assessment	0	31	0	31	94%		
Income and Sources (4.2) at Exit	2	53	24	77	20%		
6d - Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness							
Entering into project type	Count of total records	Missing time in institution (3.917.2)	Missing time in housing (3.917.2)	Approximate date this episode started (3.917.3) Missing	Number of times (3.917.4) DK/PNTA/missing	Number of months (3.917.5) DK/PNTA/missing	% of records unable to calculate
ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, Street Outreach	457			76	94	97	23%
TH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
PH(all)	65	0	0	0	0	0	0%
CE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
SSQ, Day Shelter, HP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%

Total	522									20%	
6e - Data Quality: Timeliness											
Time For Record Entry							Number of Project Start Records	Number of Project Exit Records			
< 0 days							4	0			
0 days							65	155			
1 - 3 days							162	60			
4 - 6 days							32	13			
7 - 10 days							10	12			
11+ days							126	188			
6f - Data Quality: Inactive Records: Street Outreach and Emergency Shelter											
							# of Records	# of Inactive Records	% of Inactive Records		
Contact (Adults and Heads of Household in Street Outreach or ES - NBN)							102	66	65%		
Bed Night (All clients in ES - NBN)							0	0	0%		
7a - Number of Persons Served											
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type						
Adults	709	639	68		2						
Children	83		83	0	0						
Client Doesn't Know/Client Prefers Not to Answer	7	0	0	0	7						
Data Not Collected	9	0	0	0	9						
Total	808	639	151	0	18						

For PSH and RRH - the total persons served who moved into housing	80	8	72	0	0
7b - Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	245	187	54	0	4
April	252	187	60	0	5
July	280	230	49	0	1
October	209	163	42	0	4
8a - Number of Households Served					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Total Households	657	602	46	0	9
For PSH and RRH - the total households served who moved into housing	29	5	24	0	0
8b - Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	197	178	16		3
April	199	177	18		4
July	239	219	19		1
October	175	157	16		2
9a - Number of Persons Contacted					
		All Persons Contacted	First Contact - NOT staying on	First contact - WAS staying on	First contact - Worker unable to determine

		the Streets, ES, or SH	Streets, ES, or SH		
Once	262	1	184	88	
2-5 Times	79	0	64	0	
6-9 Times	14	0	13	0	
10+ Times	6	0	5	0	
Total Persons Contacted	361	1	266	1	
9b - Number of Persons Engaged					
	All Persons Contacted	First Contact - NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - Worker unable to determine	
Once	93	0	70	0	
2-5 Times	10	0	7	0	
6-9 Times	1	0	0	0	
10+ Times	0	0	0	0	
Total Persons Engaged	104	0	77	0	
Rate of Engagement	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	
10a - Gender					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Woman	312	217	89	0	6
Man	483	418	61	0	4
Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender	1	1	0	0	0
Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0

Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Man	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Man/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Culturally Specific Identity/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Binary/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0

Non-Binary/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0
More than 2 Gender Identities Selected	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	1	1	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	11	2	1	0	8
Total	808	639	151	0	18

10d - Gender by Age Ranges

	Total	Under Age 18	Age 18-24	Age 25-64	Age 65+	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	Data Not Collected
Woman	312	37	14	236	21	4	0
Man	483	46	19	367	47	3	1
Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transgender	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Man	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Woman/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Man/Culturally Specific Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Man/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Man/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Man/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Man/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Culturally Specific Identity/Transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Culturally Specific Identity/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Culturally Specific Identity/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Culturally Specific Identity/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transgender/Non-Binary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transgender/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transgender/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Binary/Questioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Binary/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Questioning/Different Identity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
More than 2 Gender Identities Selected	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Data Not Collected	11	0	0	3	0	0	8	
Total	808	83	33	607	69	7	9	
11 - Age								
				Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type

Under 5	24		24	0	0
5 - 12	40		40	0	0
13 - 17	19		19	0	0
18 - 24	33	22	11		0
25 - 34	146	126	20		0
35 - 44	179	157	21		1
45 - 54	144	131	13		0
55 - 64	138	135	2		1
65 +	69	68	1		0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Prefers Not to Answer	7	0	0	0	7
Data Not Collected	9	0	0	0	9
Total	808	639	151	0	18
12 - Race and Ethnicity					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	4	4	0	0	0
Asian or Asian American	2	2	0	0	0
Black, African American, or African	418	278	138	0	2
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	10	10	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
White	332	316	11	0	5

Asian or Asian American & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Black, African American, or African & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	4	4	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latina/e/o & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
White & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	2	2	0	0	0
Black, African American, or African & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latina/e/o & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
White & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latina/e/o & Black, African American, or African	2	2	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & Black, African American, or African	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Black, African American, or African	1	1	0	0	0
White & Black, African American, or African	3	2	1	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & Hispanic/Latina/e/o	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Hispanic/Latina/e/o	0	0	0	0	0
White & Hispanic/Latina/e/o	7	7	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Middle Eastern or North African	0	0	0	0	0
White & Middle Eastern or North African	0	0	0	0	0
White & Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0

Multiracial - more than 2 races/ethnicity, with one being Hispanic/Latina/e/o	0	0	0	0	0	0
Multiracial - more than 2 races, where no option is Hispanic/Latina/e/o	0	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	7	5	0	0	0	2
Data Not Collected	16	6	1	0	0	9
Total	808	639	151	0	0	18
13a1 - Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Start						
	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Disorder	266	247	17	2	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	26	26	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	89	86	2	0	0	1
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorders	23	23	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	125	109	12	4	0	0
HIV/AIDS	11	11	0	0	0	0
Development Disability	35	30	2	3	0	0
Physical Disability	120	110	9	1	0	0
13b1 - Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Leavers						
	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Disorder	205	188	14	3	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	23	23	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	73	68	4	0	0	1

Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorders	17	17	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	97	87	7	3	0	0
HIV/AIDS	7	7	0	0	0	0
Development Disability	23	19	1	3	0	0
Physical Disability	91	87	4	0	0	0
13c1 - Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Stayers						
	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children and Adults	Children in HH with Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Disorder	74	69	5	0	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	6	6	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	22	22	0	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorders	6	6	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	36	29	6	1	0	0
HIV/AIDS	4	4	0	0	0	0
Development Disability	15	13	1	1	0	0
Physical Disability	33	27	5	1	0	0
14a - History of Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, Dating Violence, Stalking, or Human Trafficking						
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type	
Yes	86	69	15	0	2	
No	526	478	46	0	2	
Client Doesn't Know/Client Prefers Not to Answer	10	7	0	0	3	

Data Not Collected	94	85	7	0	2
Total	716	639	68	0	9
14b - Most recent experience of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, stalking, or human trafficking					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Within the past three months	13	11	1	0	1
Three to six months ago	10	7	3	0	0
Six months to one year	9	7	2	0	0
One year ago, or more	47	37	9	0	1
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	7	7	0	0	0
Total	86	69	15	0	2
15 - Living Situation					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations					
Place not meant for habitation	419	396	20	0	3
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, Host Home shelter	51	41	9	0	1
Safe Haven	1	1	0	0	0
Subtotal	471	438	29	0	4
Institutional Situations					
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	5	5	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	5	5	0	0	0

Long-term care facility or nursing home	1	1	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	1	1	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	12	12	0	0	0
Temporary Situations					
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	1	1	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	1	1	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	29	18	11	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment, or house	35	32	2	0	1
Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment, or house	22	18	4	0	0
Subtotal	88	70	17	0	1
Permanent Situations					
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	59	44	13	0	2
Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	11	5	6	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	2	1	1	0	0
Subtotal	72	50	20	0	2
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	5	5	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	68	64	2	0	2
Subtotal	73	69	2	0	2
Total	716	639	68	0	9
16 - Cash Income - Ranges					

	Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
No Income	329	0	240
\$1 - 150	3	0	2
\$151 - \$250	8	0	8
\$251 - \$500	17	0	15
\$501 - \$1000	77	1	46
\$1001 - \$1500	34	0	30
\$1501 - \$2000	35	0	35
\$2001 +	57	0	43
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	8	0	6
Data Not Collected	141	0	98
Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment		141	
Number of adult stayers without required annual assessment		44	
Total Adults	709	186	523
17 - Cash Income - Sources			
	Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
Earned Income	65	0	45
Unemployment Insurance	2	0	3
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	93	0	71
Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)	61	1	54

VA Service - Connected Disability Compensation	3	0	3
VA Non-Service Connected Disability Pension	3	0	2
Private Disability Insurance	0	0	0
Worker's Compensation	1	0	0
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	49	0	47
General Assistance (GA)	23	0	22
Retirement Income from Social Security	12	0	8
Pension or retirement income from a former job	3	0	2
Child Support	9	0	7
Alimony and other spousal support	0	0	0
Other Source	9	0	6
Adults with Income Information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit		1	0

19b - Disabling Conditions and Income for Adults at Exit

	AO: Adult with Disabling Condition	AO: Adult without Disabling Condition	AO: Total Adults	AO: percent with Disabling Condition by Source	AC: Adult with Disabling Condition	AC: Adult without Disabling Condition	AC: Total Adults	AC: percent with Disabling Condition by Source	UK: Adult with Disabling Condition	UK: Adult without Disabling Condition	UK: Total Adults	UK: percent with Disabling Condition by Source
Earned Income	14	21	35	40%	3	12	15	20%	0	1	1	0%
Unemployment Insurance	2	1	3	67%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	59	12	71	83%	0	5	5	0%	0	0	0	0%
Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)	39	10	49	80%	1	2	3	33%	0	0	0	0%
VA Service - Connected Disability Compensation	1	2	3	33%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%

VA Non-Service-Connected Disability Pension	0	2	2	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Private Disability Insurance	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Worker's Compensation	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	22	14	36	61%	3	5	8	38%	0	0	0	0%
General Assistance (GA)	10	6	16	63%	0	3	3	0%	0	0	0	0%
Retirement Income from Social Security	4	3	7	57%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Pension or retirement income from a former job	1	1	2	50%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Child Support	1	2	3	33%	1	3	4	25%	0	0	0	0%
Alimony and other spousal support	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%
Other Source	3	1	4	75%	0	1	1	0%	0	0	0	0%
No Sources	111	105	216	51%	4	7	11	36%	1	0	1	100%
Unduplicated Total Adults	220	157	377		11	25	36		1	1	2	

20a - Type of Non-Cash Benefit Source

	Benefit at Start	Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Benefit at Exit for Leavers
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) (Previously known as Food Stamps)	255	1	188
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)	5	0	5
TANF Child Care Services	2	0	2
TANF Transportation Services	1	0	1
Other TANF-Funded Services	2	0	1
Other Source	4	0	4

21 - Health Insurance			
	At Start	At Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
MEDICAID	431	1	321
MEDICARE	96	1	74
State Children's Health Insurance Program	26	0	13
Veteran's Health Administration (VHA)	8	0	7
Employer-Provided Health Insurance	8	0	6
Health Insurance obtained through COBRA	4	0	4
Private Pay Health Insurance	9	0	6
State Health Insurance for Adults	27	0	18
Indian Health Services Program	4	0	4
Other	5	0	5
No Health Insurance	174	0	121
Client Doesn't Know/Client Prefers Not to Answer	15	0	9
Data Not Collected	104	53	88
Number of stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment		173	
1 Source of Health Insurance	444	0	306
More than 1 Source of Health Insurance	72	1	61
22a2 - Length of Participation - ESG Projects			
	Total	Leavers	Stayers

0-7 days	202	196	6
8 to 14 days	60	56	4
15 to 21 days	13	7	6
22 to 30 days	15	9	6
31 to 60 days	36	18	18
61 to 90 days	43	16	27
91 to 180 days	156	95	61
181 to 365 days	141	96	45
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	141	88	53
731 to 1,095 Days (2-3 Yrs)	0	0	0
1,096 to 1,460 Days (3-4 Yrs)	1	0	1
1,461 to 1,825 Days (4-5 Yrs)	0	0	0
More than 1,825 Days (>5 Yrs)	0	0	0
Total	808	581	227

22c - Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	31	6	25	0	0
8 to 14 days	5	1	4	0	0
15 to 21 days	1	0	1	0	0
22 to 30 days	0	0	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	5	1	4	0	0

61 to 90 days	9	0	9	0	0
91 to 180 days	2	0	2	0	0
181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0	0
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	53	8	45	0	0
Average length of time to housing	21.04	9.25	23.13	0.00	0.00
Persons who were exited without move-in	15	7	8	0	0
Total	68	15	53	0	0

22d - Length of Participation by Household Type

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	202	170	24	0	8
8 to 14 days	60	54	4	0	2
15 to 21 days	13	12	1	0	0
22 to 30 days	15	12	3	0	0
31 to 60 days	36	36	0	0	0
61 to 90 days	43	31	12	0	0
91 to 180 days	156	112	39	0	5
181 to 365 days	141	107	31	0	3
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	141	104	37	0	0
731 days or more	1	1	0	0	0
Total	808	639	151	0	18

22e - Length of Time Prior to Housing - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	47	32	15	0	0
8 to 14 days	19	19	0	0	0
15 to 21 days	6	6	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	7	3	4	0	0
31 to 60 days	31	25	6	0	0
61 to 90 days	18	15	3	0	0
91 to 180 days	29	25	4	0	0
181 to 365 days	10	6	4	0	0
366 to 730 Days (1-2 Yrs)	18	4	14	0	0
731 days or more	1	1	0	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	186	136	50	0	0
Not yet moved into housing	38	17	21	0	0
Data Not Collected	44	7	37	0	0
Total Persons	268	160	108	0	0

22f - Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date by Race and Ethnicity

	American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	Asian or Asian American	Black, African American, or African	Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Middle Eastern or North African	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	At Least 1 Race and Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Multi-racial (does not include Hispanic/Latina/e/o)	Unknown (Doesn't Know, Prefers not to Answer, Data not Collected)
Persons Moved Into Housing	0	0	77	0	0	0	2	0	1	0
Persons Exited Without Move-In	0	0	29	0	0	0	9	0	0	0

Average time to Move-In	0	0	20	0	0	0	37	0	2	0	
Median time to Move-In	0	0		0	0	0	18	0	2	0	
22g - Length of Time Prior to Housing by Race and Ethnicity - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started											
	American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	Asian or Asian American	Black, African American, or African	Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Middle Eastern or North African	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	At Least 1 Race and Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Multi-racial (does not include Hispanic/Latina/e/o)	Unknown (Doesn't Know, Prefers not to Answer, Data not Collected)	
Persons Moved Into Housing	1	2	133	6	0	0	42	0	2	0	
Persons Not Yet Moved Into Housing	0	0	29	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	
Average time to Move-In	0	0	499	0	0	0	275	0	435	0	
Median time to Move-In	0	0	394	0	0	0	95	0	435	0	
23c - Exit Destination - All persons											
							Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations											
	Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)						346	306	34	0	6
	Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, Host Home shelter						66	45	17	0	4
	Safe Haven						2	2	0	0	0
	Subtotal						414	353	51	0	10
Institutional Situations											
	Foster care home or foster care group home						0	0	0	0	0

Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	2	2	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	5	5	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	1	1	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	2	2	0	0	0
Subtotal	10	10	0	0	0
Temporary Situations					
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	1	1	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	2	2	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g., room, apartment, or house)	12	12	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g., room, apartment, or house)	20	20	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	35	35	0	0	0
Permanent Situations					
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	3	3	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	3	3	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	44	14	28	0	2
Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	17	12	5	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	1	1	0	0	0

Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	68	33	33	0	2
Other Situations					
No Exit Interview completed	43	40	3	0	0
Other	5	5	0	0	0
Deceased	1	1	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	1	1	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	4	4	0	0	0
Subtotal	54	51	3	0	0
Total	581	482	87	0	12
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	153	101	46	0	6
Total persons exiting to destinations that excluded them from the calculation	3	3	0	0	0
Percentage of persons exiting to positive housing destinations	26%	21%	53%	0%	50%
23d - Exit Destination - Subsidy Type of Persons Exiting to Rental by Client With An Ongoing Subsidy					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
VASH housing subsidy	2	1	1	0	0
RRH or equivalent subsidy	5	1	4	0	0
HCV voucher (tenant or project based) (not dedicated)	5	5	0	0	0
Public housing unit	1	1	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Housing Stability Voucher	0	0	0	0	0

Family Unification Program Voucher (FUP)	0	0	0	0	0
Foster Youth to Independence Initiative (FYI)	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Supportive Housing	3	3	0	0	0
Other permanent housing dedicated for formerly homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
Total	16	11	5	0	0

23e - Exit Destination Type by Race and Ethnicity

	Total	American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	Asian or Asian American	Black, African American, or African	Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Middle Eastern or North African	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	At Least 1 Race and Hispanic/Latina/e/o	Multi-racial (does not include Hispanic/Latina/e/o)	Unknown (Doesn't Know, Prefers not to Answer, Data not Collected)
Homeless Situations	414	0	0	209	9	0	0	174	4	4	14
Institutional Situations	10	1	0	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
Temporary Situations	35	0	1	22	0	0	0	12	0	0	0
Permanent Situations	68	0	0	40	1	0	0	24	0	0	3
Other Situations	54	0	0	26	0	0	0	22	2	3	1
Total	581	1	1	302	10	0	0	236	6	7	18

24a - Homeless Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start—Without a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start—With the subsidy they had at project entry	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start—With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start—Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0

Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
Client became homeless - moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
Jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Prefers Not to Answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed)	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
24d - Language of Persons Requiring Translation Assistance					
Language Response (Top 20 Languages Selected)					Total Persons Requiring Translation Assistance
367					3
Different Preferred Language					0
Total					3
25a - Number of Veterans					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type	
Chronically Homeless Veteran	8	8	0	0	
Non-Chronically Homeless Veteran	14	13	1	0	
Not a veteran	593	528	63	2	

Client Doesn't Know/Client Prefers Not to Answer	6	6	0	0	
Data Not Collected	88	84	4	0	
Total	709	639	68	2	
26b - Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless	185	177	7	0	1
Not Chronically Homeless	452	318	131	0	3
Client Doesn't Know/Client Prefers Not to Answer	27	23	1	0	3
Data Not Collected	144	121	12	0	11
Total	808	639	151	0	18