PSH and RRH Renewal or Expansion Project Rating Tool								
Project Name:		i						
Organization Name:		Ī						
Project Type:		(RRH or PSH)	**Only give points b RRH	ased on project typ	e either PSH or			
Project Identifier:								
RATING FACTOR	PEFORMANCE GOALS	Applicable to Project (Y/N)	Project Performance	Max Point Value	POINTS AWARDED			
Contribution of CoC System Performance Measures - (based on project type)	Description: The extent to which projects support or harm overall system performance. Scores are based on HMIS data.							
Exit to Permanent Housing	For RRH Projects - at least 75% exit to Positive Housing Destinations - $[23c \text{ on APR}, \text{ look for }\% \text{ in total column at bottom of section}]$ - $(\text{below }\% - 0 \text{ pts.}, \text{ at }\% - 3 \text{ pts.}, \text{ above }\% - 8 \text{ pts})$		%	8				
	For PSH Projects- at least 85% exited to or maintained a positive housing destination - [5a 5. if zero leavers, 8pts, if any leavers, check 23c on APR, look for % in total column at bottom of section] - (below % - 0 pts., at % - 3 pts., above % - 8 pts)	OR	%	8				
New or Increased Income	For RRH Projects - At least 25% increased income via any source - [19.a.1 on APR, % found in last column] - % of persons who accomplished this measure"] - (below % - 0 pts., at % - 3 pts., above % - 8 pts.)	OR	%	8				
	For PSH Projects - At least 40% maintained or increased or income - [19.a.1 on APR, % found in last column "% of persons who accomplished this measure"] (below % - 0 pts., at % - 3 pts., above % - 8 pts.)		%	8				
	Performance Measures Subtotal			16	0			
	Description - PSH and RRH are permanent housing interventions that			10				
Proof that project serves high needs population	are required to prioritize highly vulnerable clients with the highest needs.							
Income at entry to program	For PSH Projects - at least 40% of participants entered program with zero income [16 on APR, take number in top line and divide by total adults number]] (below % - 0 pts., at % - 3 pts, above % - 5pts.)		%	5				
	For RRH Projects - at least 20% of participants entered program with zero income - [16 on APR, take number in top line and divide by total adults number] - (below % - 0 pts., at % - 3 pts, above % - 5pts.)	OR	%	5				
	For PSH Projects - At least 10% of population served has at least 2 or ; more disabling conditions [13.a.2 on APR] - (below % - 0 pts., at % - 3 pts, above % - 5pts.)		%	5				
		OR						
	For RRH Projects - Serves participants with at least one or more disabling condition - [13.a.2 on APR] - YES or No (If YES - 5 pts. If NO - 0 pts.)		%	5				
	For PSH Projects - at least 97% of participants moved in from a place not meant for human habitation <code>[15 on APR] - (below \% - 0 pts., at \% - 3 pts., above \% - 5 pts.)</code>	OR	%	5				
	For RRH Projects - at least 65% of participants entering project, came from a homeless situation prior - [15 on APR, take subtotal in homeless situation section + total in institutional setting and divide by overall total homeless at bottom] - (below % - 0 pts., at % - 3 pts., above % - 5 pts.)	Q.	%	5				
	Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			15	0			
Project Effectiveness and Past Performance	Description - Ensures project participates in Coordinated Entry and keeps beds/slots full to ensure the proggram is effective for the CoC							
Utilization	At least 85% of beds/slots filled - HMIS Team has utilization report. (below % - 0 pts., at % - 3 pts., above % - 7 pts.)		%	5				
Spent all CoC funds last year	If spent 98% or more of total award - Will be filled in by CoC Lead Grants team. (if % is met or exceeded - 3 pts. If less - 0 pts.)		Will be filled in by CoC Lead	3				
Timely Submission of Reports	If 100% of monthly performance reports were submitted, 2 pts. If not, 0 pts.			2				
	Project Effectiveness Subtotal			10	0			
Data Quality for Universal Data Elements	Description - To ensure the CoC is meeting all necessary performance measures, data quality is key to that success.							
Disabling Condition [6b 3.8 on APR]	Error Rate is no more than 5% - (Above $\%$ - 0 pts., at $\%$ - 3 pts., below $\%$ - 5 pts.)		%	5				
Relationship to Head of Household [6b 3.15 on APR]	Error Rate is no more than 5% - (Above % - 0 pts., at % - 3 pts., below % - 5 pts.)		%	5				
Income and Sources at start [6c on APR]	Error Rate is no more than 5% - (Above $\%$ - 0 pts., at $\%$ - 3 pts., below $\%$ - 5 pts.)		%	5				
Corretly completed score sheet	Error Rate is no more than 0% - (any errors - 0 pts, no errors, 1 pt		Will be filled in by HMIS Lead	1				
	Data Quality Subtotal			16	0			

	PSH and RRH Renewal or Expansion Project F	Rating Tool		
Project Name:				
Organization Name:		-		
Project Type:		-		
Project Identifier:		-		
		Notes or questions about	Max Point	POINTS
RATING FACTOR	PEFORMANCE GOALS	answer	Value	AWARDED
Application Review				
Project Description [3B on Application]	Did the response to 3B clearly describe the scope of the project and what services will be provided to clients?		4	
Project Description [3B on Application]	Did information provided in section 3 of the application match what was written in response to the supplemental questions?		2	
	Application Review Subtotal		6	0
Supplemental Application Questions				
Removing Barriers	Follow rubric for scoring guidance		10	
Housing First	Follow rubric for scoring guidance		10	
Incorporating People with Lived Experience	Follow rubric for scoring guidance		5	
	Supplemental Applications Questions Subtotal		25	0
Administrative Process	These answers can be found in the email copies emailed to you.			
	Submitted email of intent to apply for funds by due date 09/06/24 by $4pm$ - (yes-2 pts, no - 0 pts.)		2	
	Submitted Project Application by due date U9/21/24 by noon - (yes-2 pts, no - 0 pts.)		2	
_	Administrative Process Questions		4	0
	Total Points		92	0